Thermodynamic deficiencies of some simple Lindblad operators

A diagnosis and a suggestion for a cure

Jürgen T. Stockburger[1] * *and Thomas Motz*[1]

arXiv:1606.043 Oct 2016

Master equations of Lindblad type have attained prominent status in the fields of quantum optics and quantum information since they are guaranteed to satisfy fundamental notions of quantum dynamics such as complete positivity. When Lindblad operators are used to describe thermal reservoirs in contact with an open quantum system, the fundamental laws of thermodynamics and the fluctuation-dissipation theorem provide additional mandatory criteria. We show several examples of innocent-looking Lindblad operators which have questionable properties in this regard. Compatibility criteria between Hamiltonian and Lindblad terms as well as consequences of their violation are discussed. An alternative stochastic approach to dissipative quantum dynamics is outlined and illustrated through a harmonic-chain model for which the approach of local Lindblad operators fails.

1 Introduction

There has been a recent growth of research activity in the study of the thermodynamics of small (mesoscopic or microscopic) quantum systems. A major part of these activities brings the fields of thermodynamics and dynamics into contact, with interesting results beyond the standard approaches of small fluctuations and linear response.

The smaller a system is, the less isolated it tends to be, hence the concept of an open quantum systems is frequently referred to in current efforts, and established methodology related to this concept is typically used. One of the premier mathematical tools in this context is the Lindblad master equation. Its ubiquitous use in the literature, however, should not be taken as evidence of universal applicability. Levy and Kosloff [1] have put forward strong evidence to the contrary, showing that certain ways of constructing local Lindblad operators violate thermodynamics.

A few words on their epistemological status and use in the context of thermal physics seem therefore in order. There are two conceptually different approaches to open quantum systems which overlap to some degree, but not completely. On the one hand, the notion of an open quantum system may be introduced in an abstract manner, starting from the question how the Liouville-von Neumann equation can be generalized to non-unitary, dissipative time evolution. When the requirements of unitarity and energy conservation are dropped, the remaining requirements are taken to be linearity, complete positivity, and the existence of a superoperator which acts as a generator of the dynamics. Starting from these requirements, one arrives at quantum semigroups whose generator can be decomposed into Hamiltonian and Lindblad terms [2, 3]. The physical context in which this methodology has found its most frequent application is quantum optics.

A more physically motivated approach, which may also give rise to Lindblad-type (and other) master equations, relies on the established postulates of (conservative) quantum mechanics only. The physical environment towards which the system of interest is "open" must then be part, at least conceptually, in the starting point of such an approach. Using a sequence of approximations [4], Lindblad operators can be identified as modifications of the system dynamics by the reservoir, after a partial trace is taken over all environmental degrees of freedom.

In this procedure, the Born approximation for system-environment interaction is assumed for timescales of the order of decoherence and relaxation timescales, and a

* Corresponding author E-mail: juergen.stockburger@uni-ulm.de

[1] Ulm University, Institute for Complex Quantum Systems

Copyright line will be provided by the publisher

further weak-coupling assumption is implied in the secular approximation which is needed to bring the resulting master equation into Lindblad form.

A few comments seem in order here: Strict application of the methodology just outlined requires some knowledge of the spectral power density of reservoir fluctuations, and the diagonalization of the system Hamiltonian (at least within an uncertainty given by Planck's constant divided by the correlation time of reservoir fluctuations). For some applications, techniques reaching beyond the Born approximation are needed, either using higher-order corrections [5] or entirely different approaches for reducing the dynamics of system-plus-reservoir models, e. g., path integrals in the case of quantum Brownian motion [6] or for the instanton theory of dissipative tunneling [7]. Stochastic modeling techniques [8–10], equivalent to non-perturbative path integral approaches, are sometimes attractive as computational methods.

2 Inherent limits of the standard Lindblad approach in thermodynamic contexts

In a thermodynamic context, the system-plus-reservoir approach is the appropriate reference point; heat baths are then identified as "environment". Under certain conditions, the standard Lindblad master equation

$$\frac{d\rho}{dt} = -i[H,\rho] + \sum_j L_j \rho L_j^\dagger - \tfrac{1}{2}\{L_j^\dagger L_j, \rho\} \tag{1}$$

can be derived for this case [4,11]. In this thermodynamic setting, it acquires the following additional properties:

1. The dynamics of diagonal elements of the density matrix (relaxation) decouples from the dynamics of the off-diagonal elements (coherent evolution and dephasing).
2. Transition rates between the diagonal elements obey a detailed balance condition, determined by the level structure and the reservoir temperature.
3. The Gibbs ensemble related to the system Hamiltonian is a stationary state of the master equation. In the absence of special symmetries the stationary state is unique; the master equation describes thermalization.
4. When the Hamiltonian and dissipative parts of the Liouvillian are considered separately, the Gibbs state is a zero-eigenvalue eigenstate of either part.

From these points, it is evident, that the *unitary dynamics* of the system-plus-reservoir model can be used to describe thermalization of the system, at least under the weak-coupling provisos on which the derivation of the Lindblad master equation relies on in this context.

The stationary state of a Lindblad equation properly derived from a system-plus-reservoir model is virtually always a valid thermodynamic state, however, this does not allow the conclusion that it faithfully reflects the true state of a microscopic system in finite-strength contact with a heat bath. The stationary state basically results from solving the detailed-balance conditions for the stationary probabilities (and normalization). It lies in the nature of this procedure that the result is of lower-order accuracy when perturbatively determined rates are used. Rates are correct to second order in the system-reservoir coupling here, resulting in the zero-order Gibbs result.

It is to be noted that the zero-order result may be qualitatively wrong in the case of low temperatures. The zero-order result depends only on the system Hamiltonian. In the case of a gapped Hamiltonian, and for thermal energies sufficiently below the gap, the zero-order result show an essentially frozen system with exponentially small thermodynamic quantities, e.g., a heat capacity $\propto \exp(-E_1/k_\mathrm{B}T)$ (Schottky anomaly). For simple systems such as the spin-boson model or the damped harmonic oscillator, the reduced density matrix may be computed with better accuracy in this regime, e.g, by path integral methods. The essential thermodynamic properties at low temperature are actually related to the structure of a hybridized, broadened system ground state, with thermodynamic quantities varying algebraically, sometimes linearly with temperature [12]. This has been demonstrated for a two-state system [13] and for the damped harmonic oscillator [6]. For the generic model of Ohmic dissipation, both works find a heat capacity $\propto T$. Ankerhold and Pekola have recently discussed the experimental implications of such hybridization effects [14].

Closely related to the path integral approach is the dynamical ansatz of a hierarchy of equations of motion [15] (augmenting the dynamical state by auxiliary density matrices). This approach is useful when studying systems or physical effects where system-reservoir correlations play a significant role, e.g., in multi-dimensional spectroscopy.

3 Heuristic Lindblad operators: When are they consistent with thermodynamics?

The derivation of the Lindblad master equation as a reduced dynamics of a system-plus-reservoir model as referred to above can be a formidable task. Not only must the system Hamiltonian be diagonalized, the system-

Copyright line will be provided by the publisher

reservoir coupling must be decomposed into a linear combination of raising and lowering operators between the energy eigenstates of the system. In simple cases such as the harmonic oscillator or noninteracting spin systems, the raising and lowering operators are few and easy to determine. Complex systems with n non-equidistant energy levels require a total of up to $n(n-1)$ such eigenoperators of the Hamiltonian [4].

Because of this complexity, heuristic Lindblad master equations are frequently postulated by "defining" an open system either by modifying the Hamiltonian *after* an "orthodox" Lindblad master equation had been derived for a simpler, unperturbed Hamiltonian, or by selecting ad-hoc combinations of Hamiltonian and Lindblad terms by hand.

A most simple test case demonstrates problems with the former approach (i.e., modifying the Hamiltonian after introducing a Lindblad dissipator): Let us consider the standard Lindblad master equation for the damped harmonic oscillator in a zero-temperature environment,

$$\dot\rho = -i\omega[a^\dagger a,\rho] + \gamma a\rho a^\dagger - \tfrac{\gamma}{2}\{a^\dagger a,\rho\}\,, \tag{2}$$

which describes exponential decay to the ground state, but modify the Hamiltonian by adding a linear force term,

$$H = H_0 + H_1 = \omega(a^\dagger a + \tfrac12) - f(a^\dagger + a)/\sqrt{2}\,. \tag{3}$$

Properties of the stationary state of this dynamics can be conveniently determined by considering the adjoint (Heisenberg) master equation for an observable $A(t)$, [4, 16]

$$\dot A = -i\omega[A,H] + \gamma a^\dagger A a - \tfrac{\gamma}{2}\{a^\dagger a, A\}\,. \tag{4}$$

The *stationary* solutions for position $q = (a + a^\dagger)/\sqrt{2}$ and momentum $p = i(a^\dagger - a)/\sqrt{2}$ are (to leading order in γ)

$$q_{\rm stat} = \frac{f}{\omega}, \quad p_{\rm stat} = -\frac{\gamma f}{2\omega^2} \neq 0\,. \tag{5}$$

The former equation is Hooke's law in the mass-reduced units used here; the latter equation is an unphysical result (see also [17]).

Qualitative changes to the Hamiltonian can induce larger discrepancies between thermodynamic states and the stationary state of the resulting Lindblad master equation. Keeping the Lindblad operators of eq. (2), but changing the Hamiltonian to that of a free particle, $H = \frac{\omega}{4}p^2$ in the units used here, one finds a stationary state which is a highly squeezed Gaussian with

$$\langle p^2\rangle = \frac12 \tag{6}$$

$$\tfrac12\langle pq + qp\rangle = \frac{\omega}{2\gamma} \tag{7}$$

$$\langle q^2\rangle = \frac{\omega^2}{\gamma^2} + \frac12\,. \tag{8}$$

This cannot be identified as a thermal state for several reasons. In a non-magnetic model, there can be no correlations between q and p. Moreover, in a proper treatment (see, e.g., [18]), one finds that there is no stationary state: By changing the Hamiltonian to that of a free particle, we have effectively changed the problem to that of quantum Brownian motion, which has no stationary state, $\langle q^2\rangle$ diverges linearly with time.

Of course, not all changes to the Hamiltonian are this harmful. When a Lindblad master equation is derived from a system-reservoir model, one critical step is a decomposition of the system-reservoir coupling into eigenoperators of the Hamiltonian [4]. Starting from an interaction term $H_{\rm I} = -A\cdot B$ which is separable between a system part A and a reservoir part B, Lindblad operators L_j are chosen such that A is a linear combination of them, and with the special property that the time dependence of $L_j(t)$ in the interaction picture is

$$L_j(t) = e^{i\omega_j t}L_j(0)\,. \tag{9}$$

Here ω_j is one of the transition frequencies of the system. Keeping the L_j while changing the Hamiltonian will, of course, lead to a different, non-trivial equation of motion of $L_j(t)$ in the interaction picture. However, as long as eq. (9) remains a good approximation for the duration of the *reservoir correlation time*, only a small error is incurred. In this context, it should not be overlooked that even broad-band, unstructured reservoirs have a timescale which is not always short in a quantum context: The thermal timescale $1/(k_{\rm B}T)$ is relatively long if $k_{\rm B}T$ is small compared to a typical level spacing of the system. In essence, this means that the modification of a Hamiltonian in a Lindblad master equation is safe if it does not change the eigenstates appreciably, and if the level shifts and splittings it induces are smaller than $k_{\rm B}T$.

In the light of these considerations, models defining Lindblad operators defined entirely *ad hoc* should be considered as more or less disconnected from thermodynamics and statistical physics unless cogent arguments are found for their validity.

Levy and Kosloff analyze such a case in a recent paper [1], where they study a "dimer" of harmonic oscillators (or, alternatively, two-level systems) in order to test

Copyright line will be provided by the publisher



Figure 1 Chain of harmonic oscillators coupled to Ohmic heat baths.

the compatibility of *site-local* Lindblad operators with thermodynamics. The local dissipation operators considered there are a correct description for a *monomer* (in the sense of properties 1–4 enumerated in section 2). Levy and Kosloff choose different temperatures for the reservoirs of two monomers, then study the dynamics which arises when the two monomers are coupled while leaving the site-local Lindblad operators unchanged. For this case, they find significant parameter regimes where the resulting dynamics has a nonequilibrium steady state in fundamental disagreement with thermodynamics: Energy is transferred from the cold to the hot side of the dimer without any work being performed on the system.

Here we show that even the equilibrium state of such a model is sometimes unphysical, even in highly symmetric cases (in particular, reservoirs with identical temperatures). We consider a short chain of three harmonic oscillators with Hamiltonian

$$H = H_0 + V_{\rm int} = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \tfrac{1}{2} m_i \omega_i^2 q_i^2 + V_{\rm int} \tag{10}$$

with interaction potential

$$V_{\rm int} = -\sum_{i=1}^{2} \mu_i q_i q_{i+1} \tag{11}$$

in the symmetric configuration $m_i = \omega_i = 1$, $\mu_i = 0.7$. The first and last oscillator is subject to the zero-temparature Lindblad terms of eq. (2).

The stationary state of the resulting master equation, obtained using an analogue of eq. (4), differs significantly from the ground state of the chain. These artifacts persist down to the regime of extremely weak damping. For values of γ between 10^{-3} and 10^{-12}, we find essentially the same Gaussian state with a covariance matrix incompatible with ground state properties. It appears that however small γ is chosen, the same artifact arises. Most likely this can be attributed to the fact that a transformation of the annihilation operators a_1 and a_3 to a normal-mode picture will also contain creation operators of the normal modes. In a sense, the local Lindblad dissipator, however weak, "pulls" the system in the wrong direction.

The symplectic eigenvalues of the covariance matrix provide a convenient route for the determination of the entropy of the resulting state [19]. We find it is a mixed state with entropy $S \approx 4.10 k_{\rm B}$. With $S \approx k_{\rm B} \ln n$, this corresponds to roughly 60 occupied states.

Larger chains, such as those currently studied experimentally as cold-atom replicas of solid-state systems, present problems even outside the specific context of site-local damping operators: In the limit of long chain length, energy levels come arbitrarily close to each other. For large enough systems, the combination of the Born and rotating-wave approximations inherent in the standard derivation of Lindblad master equation [4] leads to difficulties: The assumption of a small level broadening compared to the level spacing breaks down. In any gapless model, low-lying single-particle excitations will exhibit properties very similar to quantum Brownian motion, leading to similar problems as those discussed earlier in this context.

4 Alternative formalism for open-system dynamics

Some alternative formal approaches to system-plus-reservoir models, such as path integrals, were already mentioned in the introduction. Here we present recent work based on an exact stochastic model of a harmonic or Gaussian quantum reservoir [10]. The key obstacle to the construction of such a model lies in the fact that Heisenberg operators at different times generally do not commute; a property which ordinary stochastic processes cannot reproduce.

Here is how to work around this problem: Again starting from a separable Hamiltonian $H_I = -A \cdot B$, it is to be noted that the Liouvillian will be the sum of *two* separable terms (interaction picture):

$$\dot\rho(t) = i A_+(t) B_-(t) \rho(t) + i A_-(t) B_+(t) \rho(t) \tag{12}$$

where superoperators for reservoir $B_\pm$, and system $A_\pm$ defined through (anti-)commutators, $B_- = [B, \cdot]$ and $B_+ = \frac{1}{2}\{B, \cdot\}$ [20]. It is now possible to replace the *superoperators* B_+ and B_- in eq. (12) by c-number stochastic processes $\xi(t)$ and $\nu(t)$ such that the stochastic mean value of solutions $\rho(t)$ will be exactly identical to the mean value obtained by tracing out the quantum reservoir. Necessary and sufficient conditions for this identifi-

Copyright line will be provided by the publisher

cation are

$$\langle \xi(t)\xi(t')\rangle = \mathrm{Re}\langle B(t)B(t')\rangle \tag{13}$$

$$\langle \xi(t)\nu(t')\rangle = 2i\Theta(t-t')\,\mathrm{Im}\langle B(t)B(t')\rangle \tag{14}$$

$$\langle \nu(t)\nu(t')\rangle = 0\,. \tag{15}$$

These conditions may seem somewhat exotic for a "classical" stochastic process but can be fulfilled for complex-valued $\xi(t)$ and $\nu(t)$. For any correlation function $\langle B(t)B(t')\rangle$ whose real and imaginary parts are linked by the fluctuation-dissipation theorem, this is a valid, exact model of a quantum heat bath.

It is to be noted that the identification of stochastic average and trace over reservoir is completely "agnostic" of the intrinsic properties of the systems: The separable structure of the coupling is preserved, and no decomposition of $A(t)$ into eigenoperators is necessary. A set of processes $\xi(t)$ and $\nu(t)$ adapted to a coupling Hamiltonian H_I and a reservoir correlation function $\langle B(t)B(t')\rangle$ is valid for *any* system Hamiltonian H_S. Virtually all problems outlined in the previous section are thus avoided in this approach.

In the case of an Ohmic heat bath, the dissipative part $\mathrm{Im}\langle B(t)B(t')\rangle$ is of the form $C\delta'(t-t')$ (derivative of Dirac's delta function). This allows a time-local representation of the dissipative part, while the fluctuation part $\mathrm{Re}\langle B(t)B(t')\rangle$ becomes time-local only in the case of extremely high temperatures [21]. The resulting equation of motion [8] then is, substituting the position operator q for A, and reverting to the Schrödinger picture:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\rho = -i\left([H_\mathrm{S},\rho] - \xi(t)[q,\rho]\right) - i\frac{\eta}{2m}[q,\{p,\rho\}]\,. \tag{16}$$

The last term is identical to the friction term in the master equation of Caldeira and Leggett [21]. However, explicit fluctuations $\xi(t)$ are kept in eq. (16), which is valid for arbitrarily long thermal times β, i.e., arbitrarily low temperature. Eq. (16) is numerically stable and can used for computation by direct sampling [22–24].

We study harmonic chains of moderate size using deterministic equations of motion based on eq. (16). Also here, the dynamics of the adjoint counterpart to the equation of motion (16) is useful, however, it is also a stochastic equation of motion. All observables must be obtained through double (trace and probabilistic) averages of the type

$$\langle A\rangle = \langle \mathrm{tr}(A\rho)\rangle_\mathrm{prob}\,. \tag{17}$$

Variances related to this double average can be written as

$$\mathrm{var}(A,B) = \langle \mathrm{var}_\mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{A},\mathrm{B})\rangle_\mathrm{prob} + \mathrm{var}_\mathrm{prob}(\langle \mathrm{A}\rangle_\mathrm{tr}, \langle \mathrm{B}\rangle_\mathrm{tr})\,. \tag{18}$$

The time evolution of $\mathrm{var}_\mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{A},\mathrm{B})$ can be obtained by suitably combining the adjoint equations for A, B, and $AB+BA$, which leads to the observation that $\mathrm{var}_\mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{A},\mathrm{B})$ evolves deterministically, independent of $\xi(t)$. This allows the simplification

$$\mathrm{var}(A,B) = \mathrm{var}_\mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{A},\mathrm{B}) + \mathrm{var}_\mathrm{prob}(\langle \mathrm{A}\rangle_\mathrm{tr}, \langle \mathrm{B}\rangle_\mathrm{tr})\,. \tag{19}$$

The second term in the sum can be transformed using the observation that $\langle A\rangle_\mathrm{tr}$ and $\langle B\rangle_\mathrm{tr}$ are linked to $\xi(t)$ by linear response. The phase-space vector $\mathbf{X}$ being of the form

$$\mathbf{X}(t) = \mathbf{X}_0 + \int_0^t dt'\mathbf{G}(t-t')\xi(t')\,, \tag{20}$$

the covariance matrix of position and momenta, or, more precisely, its part contributed by terms of type $\mathrm{var}_\mathrm{prob}(\langle \mathrm{A}\rangle_\mathrm{tr}, \langle \mathrm{B}\rangle_\mathrm{tr})$ can therefore be written as a matrix Σ with

$$\Sigma(t) = \int_0^t dt' \int_0^t dt''\mathbf{G}(t-t')\mathbf{G}^\dagger(t-t'')\langle \xi(t')\xi(t'')\rangle\,. \tag{21}$$

Using an auxiliary quantity $\mathbf{y}(t)$, a closed system of ordinary differential equations can be solved to obtain $\Sigma(t)$:

$$\dot\Sigma(t) = M\Sigma(t) + \Sigma(t)M^\dagger + \mathbf{G}(0)(\mathbf{y}(t))^\dagger + \mathbf{y}(t)(\mathbf{G}(0))^\dagger \tag{22}$$

$$\dot{\mathbf{y}}(t) = \langle \xi(t)\xi(0)\rangle\mathbf{G}(t) \tag{23}$$

$$\dot{\mathbf{G}}(t) = M\mathbf{G}(t)\,. \tag{24}$$

The non-zero elements of the matrix M consist of constants such as masses, frequencies, couplings and friction constants. For a chain coupled to reservoirs at either end, a slightly extended version of these equations involving two processes $\xi_\mathrm{L}(t)$ and $\xi_\mathrm{R}(t)$ is needed. Since these equations are now deterministic, both small and large fluctuations can be determined with very moderate numerical effort. The artifacts demonstrated for the local Lindblad approach – unphysical heat currents and an unphysical equilibrium state – are completely absent. The direction of the heat current is determined solely by the direction of the temperature gradient, and in the case of equal reservoir temperatures we find the Gibbs state in the limit of weak coupling.

Figure 2 shows heat currents through a chain of five oscillators as a function of the temperature of the hotter of two reservoirs, with different symbols designating different temperatures T_c of the colder reservoir. All three datasets show (in the log-log representation chosen here) a threshold at which a positive current sets in. If the temperature of the cold reservoir is finite, it sets the scale for the threshold: The sign of the current correctly changes with the sign of the temperature difference. A different (and maybe more interesting) reason exists for the

Copyright line will be provided by the publisher



Figure 2 Threshold behavior in the heat current through a harmonic chain of five oscillators. Parameters are $\mu = 0.1$, $\eta = 0.1$, reservoir high-frequency cut-off $\omega_c = 30$.



Figure 3 Local oscillator energies for varying impurity strength. Parameters are $\mu = 0.1$, $\eta = 0.1$, $k_B T_c = 0$, $k_B T_h = 1$, reservoir high-frequency cut-off $\omega_c = 30$.

threshold in the third dataset with $T_c = 0$: In a chain of moderate size, the first excited state is separated from the ground state by a small but finite gap. Transport can occur only if one of the reservoir temperatures is sufficient for thermal excitation of this state. Avoiding this finite-size effect through larger chain lengths can be done with moderate effort: Our dynamical variable $\Sigma(t)$ grows only quadratically with chain length. Since our approach is non-perturbative, the breakdown of perturbation theory for extended systems (outlined near the end of section 3) does not occur here.

As another exercise, we make use of our liberty to change the Hamiltonian without complications by introducing an impurity through variation of the intrinsic frequency of one oscillator situated at the center of an 11-oscillator chain (figure 3). The energy of each local oscillator is taken as an indicator for differences in heat transport with and without impurity. Without impurity, there is a fairly flat profile, indicative of ballistic transport [25]. With an impurity, a step in this plateau is introduced at the impurity, but otherwise, the profile remains homogeneous.

5 Conclusions and outlook

There are several potential pitfalls when using Lindblad dissipators to model thermal reservoirs. Some of them are intrinsic to the approach, leading to subtle errors due to the perturbative nature of their connection to system-plus-reservoir models. Further errors are incurred when Hamiltonian terms and Lindblad operators do not match in the sense outlined in sections 2 and 3. Qualitative errors may arise then, leading to equlibrium or nonequlibrium states with properties which contradict thermodynamics in a fundamental way.

In such cases, alternative descriptions of open-system quantum physics are needed. These can be established methods like path integrals or newer methods like hierarchic equation of motion or stochastic modeling, both of which stay within the paradigm of an equation of motion. The corresponding equations of motion define an essentially non-perturbative approach. The computational cost of the stochastic method in terms of explicit statistical sampling is not always affordable, but whenever enough samples can be generated to achieve numerical convergence, the result is guaranteed to be free of systematic error.

We have analyzed certain aspects of heat transport through a harmonic chain based on this methodology. For harmonic systems with ohmic damping of arbitrary strength, deterministic equations of motion are derived which are purely local (no diagonalization into eigenstates or normal modes is required), yet we obtain the reduced system dynamics of the underlying system-plus-reservoir model without the errors described earlier. We are currently extending this approach to larger chains, planning to investigate spatiotemporal patterns in transport as well as the effects of disorder and/or weak anharmonicity.

Copyright line will be provided by the publisher

Acknowledgements

We thank Joachim Ankerhold and Udo Seifert for stimulating discussions. This work was supported by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft through grant AN336/6-1.

Key words. Open quantum system, thermodynamics, Lindblad operator, quantum dynamics, harmonic chain.

References

[1] A. Levy and R. Kosloff Europhys. Lett. **107**, 20004 (2014).
[2] G. Lindblad Commun. Math. Phys. **48**, 119–130 (1976).
[3] R. Alicki and K. Lendi, Quantum Dynamical Semigroups and Applications, Lecture Notes in Physics, Vol. 286 (Springer, 1987).
[4] H. P. Breuer and F. Petruccione, The theory of open quantum systems (Oxford University Press, 2002).
[5] F. Shibata, Y. Takahashi, and N. Hashitsume J. Stat. Phys. **17**, 171–187 (1977).
[6] H. Grabert, P. Schramm, and G. L. Ingold Phys. Rep. **168**, 115–207 (1988).
[7] U. Weiss, H. Grabert, P. Hänggi, and P. Riseborough Physical Review B **35**(18), 9535–9551 (1987).
[8] J. T. Stockburger and C. H. Mak J. Chem. Phys. **110**, 4983–4985 (1999).
[9] W. T. Strunz, L. Diósi, and N. Gisin Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 1801–1805 (1999).
[10] J. T. Stockburger and H. Grabert Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 170407 (2002).
[11] We use the convention $\hbar = 1$ throughout the paper.
[12] Thermodynamic quantities which are extensive in a macroscopic context are defined in this work for the system part by forming differences between the system-plus-reservoir complex and the unperturbed reservoir.
[13] R. Görlich and U. Weiss Phys. Rev. B **38**, 5245–5259 (1988).
[14] J. Ankerhold and J. P. Pekola Physical Review B **90** (2014).
[15] Y. Tanimura Phys. Rev. A **41**(12), 6676–6687 (1990).
[16] Note that generally $(AB)(t) \neq A(t)B(t)$ for the nonunitary propagation of the adjoint master equation.
[17] H. Grabert Chemical Physics **322**, 160–168 (2006).
[18] U. Weiss, Quantum dissipative systems, 4. ed. edition (World Scientific, 2012).
[19] C. Weedbrook, S. Pirandola, R. García-Patrón, N. J. Cerf, T. C. Ralph, J. H. Shapiro, and S. Lloyd Rev. Mod. Phys. **84**, 621–669 (2012).
[20] Alternatively, the two separable terms could be constructed from “left” and “right” superoperators.
[21] A. O. Caldeira and A. J. Leggett Physica A **121**, 587–616 (1983).
[22] R. Schmidt, A. Negretti, J. Ankerhold, T. Calarco, and J. T. Stockburger Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 130404 (2011).
[23] R. Schmidt, S. Rohrer, J. Ankerhold, and J. T. Stockburger Physica Scripta **2012**(T151), 014034 (2012).
[24] R. Schmidt, J. T. Stockburger, and J. Ankerhold Phys. Rev. A **88**, 052321 (2013).
[25] C. Gaul and H. Büttner Phys. Rev. E **76**, 011111 (2007).

Copyright line will be provided by the publisher